

Graph Theory Problem Set 2

Austin DeCicco

October 2025

1. Show that it is possible to orient the edges of any graph G in such a way that the in-degree and the out-degree of each vertex differ by at most 1.

Construct an arbitrary orientation of the edges of G .

We may assume that this orientation does not satisfy the statement, thus $\exists x \in V(G)$ s.t. $|d_{in}(x) - d_{out}(x)| > 1$.

WLOG say $d_{in}(x) > d_{out}(x)$ and $|d_{in}(x) - d_{out}(x)| = k$.

Reverse the orientation of an edge into x so it now flows from x into $y_i \in N(x)$ and do this $k - 1$ times. Suppose y_i is now also problematic. Reverse a different edge that flows into y_i then the one you already reversed that connects y_i to some $z_i \in N(y_i)$. Repeating the process for z_i if it also becomes problematic, we begin to form a directed path along the vertices of G that begins at y_i .

Since G is finite, this process must terminate, either we will eventually form a cycle or find a vertex with only one edge. If we find a vertex with only one edge then the statement now holds for all vertices along the path, if we find a cycle that reconnects into our path at some vertex c that could be y_i, z_i or any other vertex along this directed path, then this cycle contributes zero to the sum of $|d_{in}(c) - d_{out}(c)|$ and can be ignored. Restart the process starting from c until you find the problematic terminating directed path then iterate back to the next problematic y_i . This path must exist, as if all other possible problematic paths out of c eventually form cycles, they would all contribute zero to $|d_{in}(c) - d_{out}(c)|$ and c wouldn't be problematic.

All y_i 's and the vertices that failed the statement in the cascade are now no longer problematic and neither is x , repeating this process over all problematic x satisfies the statement.

□

2. Let G be a graph on n vertices in which the largest independent set has size r . Show that G contains a path on at least n/r vertices.

Begin by forming a collection of independent sets of G in this manner:

Form C_1 by taking the largest independent set of $V(G)$.

Form C_2 by taking the largest independent set of $V(G) \setminus C_1$.

Form C_3 by taking the largest independent set of $V(G) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^2 C_i$.

.

.

.

Form C_k by taking the largest independent set of $V(G) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} C_i$.

Observe $\forall x \in C_i, 1 < i \leq k$, that x must have an edge with a vertex in C_{i-1} , otherwise x could be placed in C_{i-1} and make it larger.

Look at any vertex in C_k find it's neighbor in C_{k-1} , similarly find that vertices neighbor in C_{k-2} , continuing all the way to C_1 .

You have now formed a path on k vertices.

Since $|C_1| \geq |C_i| \forall i, k \geq n/|C_1| = n/r$.

□

3. Show that the chromatic number of any graph G equals one plus the length of the longest directed path in an orientation of G chosen to minimize this path's length.

Let $\chi(G) = h$. As I showed in question 7, any graph with $\chi(G) = h$, contains a complete K_h subgraph.

I will show by induction that any K_h contains a longest directed path in an orientation chosen to minimize this path's length of length $h - 1$.

Trivial for $h = 1, 2$.

Let the statement hold for $h - 1$ vertices. Consider the addition of the h -th vertex. Since we are inducting over complete graphs, the h -th vertex must connect to every other. Let P be our longest path in K_{h-1} , length of $P = h - 2$, notice if you took the first vertex in P and reversed the edge to the next vertex $y \in P$, the length of P would decrease by one, but since our orientation was chosen to minimize P , the first vertex must have a path of length $h - 3$ that flowed out of it from the opposite direction of P and would form a path of length $h - 2$ beginning at y . Similarly no edge direction swap along P decreases the length of the longest path in K_{h-1} .

Let Q be our longest path in K_h , obviously length $Q \geq$ length $P = h - 2$. To minimize Q we would want to attached the h -th vertex s.t it flows away from the first vertex in P and towards the last vertex in P , otherwise we would form an extension of P . Consider orientating the edge joining the second to last vertex of P , call it x , to the h -th vertex, if you allow $x \rightarrow h$, then you form a longer path by inserting h between x and the last vertex in P , thus $x \leftarrow h$.

Repeating the same logic for the third to last vertex, say v , shows if you allow $v \rightarrow h$ you can insert h between x and v and form a longer path, thus $v \leftarrow h$. This process terminates however when we reach the first vertex in P , which we had to allow to flow into h , thus length of $Q = h - 1$.

Since $K_h \subseteq G$, the length of the longest path in G under an orientation designed to minimize this value is $\geq h - 1 = \chi(G) - 1$.

Consider now beginning with an undirected graph and coloring it with a numbered set of colors, we will direct the edges s.t. they always flow from the lower numbered color to the higher colored one, clearly there can exist a directed path along the colors that has length $h - 1$, thus the minimum length directed path is bounded above by $h - 1$ but also bounded below by $h - 1$, thus they are equal. \square

4. A kernel of a digraph D is an independent set $I \subset V(D)$ such that every vertex $v \in V(D) \setminus I$ can be reached from some vertex in I in one (directed) step. Show that there are directed graphs that do not have a kernel. A quasi-kernel of a digraph D is an independent set Q such that every vertex can be reached in at most two (directed) steps from Q . Show that every directed graph has a quasi-kernel.

A directed graph with no kernel:

Imagine a directed cycle on 3 vertices, x, y, z with edges x to y , y to z , z to x .

Claim:

No independent set can form a kernel of this graph.

Proof of Claim:

No set of ≥ 2 vertices is independent. Any set of one vertex will have a vertex two directed steps away. \checkmark

Let G be a graph on n vertices. Let $n \leq 3$.

Obviously G has a quasi-kernel.

Assume the property holds for $v(G) = n$ and consider $v(G) = n + 1$.

Pick $v \in V(G)$ s.t. $d_{out}(v) = \Delta_{out}(G)$, i.e v is a vertex with maximal out degree.

Let $X \subset V(G) = \{x : v \rightarrow x\}$.

Let G' be an induced subgraph on the vertex set $V(G) \setminus (X \cup \{v\})$.

By induction $\exists Q'$ s.t. Q' is a quasi kernel of G' .

If $Q' \rightarrow v$, $Q = Q'$, done. \checkmark

If $Q' \not\rightarrow v$, since $\forall x \in X, x \notin Q', v$ is independent of $Q', Q = \{v\} \cup Q'$. \checkmark

Thus $\forall y \in V(G) \setminus (X \cup \{v\}), y$ reachable ≤ 2 steps from Q .

$\forall x \in X, x$ reachable in one or two step(s) from Q depending if $v \in Q$.

Finally, v reachable in zero or one step(s) from Q depending if $v \in Q$.

\square

5. Let $k \geq 2$. Prove that if G is k -vertex-connected, then every set of k vertices is contained in a cycle. Is the converse true?

Let $k = 2$, then G is 2-vertex-connected, thus by Menger's Theorem $\exists P_1, P_2$ internally vertex disjoint paths between any $x_i, x_j \in V(G)$.

Thus $x_i P_1 x_j P_2 x_i$ is a cycle.

Let the property hold for, $k = l$. Consider $k = l + 1$.

Let $X \subset V(G)$ be our collection of distinct vertices $\{x_1, \dots, x_{l+1}\}$.

Let $Y \subset X = \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$. Let C be a cycle containing Y .

Since G is k -connected, $\exists l + 1$ vertex disjoint paths from x_{l+1} to the collection of endpoints $C_x \subseteq C = \{c_1, \dots, c_{l+1}\}$.

C_x divides C into $l + 1$ paths, one of which, by PHP, does not contain any $x_i \in Y$. Let c_i, c_j be the endpoints of this path. If $c_i \neq c_j$, attach x_{l+1} to c_i, c_j accordingly and you've formed a cycle containing X .

To avoid $c_i = c_j$ we can pick c_i s.t c_i is the last endpoint we encounter before the first vertex, x_1 , when traversing C . Similarly pick c_j s.t c_j is the first endpoint we encounter after the last vertex, x_l . If this process results in $c_i = c_j$, set c_j to x_l which can be done since \exists a path x_{l+1} to x_l that does not include any $x_i \in Y$. If $c_i = c_j$ still, then set $c_i = x_1$, which can be done as by Fan Lemma $\exists 2$ internally disjoint paths to distinct vertices of $\{c_i, c_j\}$. Obviously \exists a path P between c_i, c_j s.t. $Y \in P$, as before attach x_{l+1} to c_i and c_j accordingly.

□

The converse of the statement is not true as consider the graph of a circle on large N vertices, then $\forall k$ $2 < k \leq N$ a group of k vertices is part of a cycle but this cycle is not k -vertex-connected.

□

6. a. (Fan lemma) Let G be a k -connected graph, let v be a vertex of G , and let X be a set of at most k other vertices. Then there exist $|X|$ internally vertex-disjoint paths from v to distinct vertices of X .

Form G' by adding a vertex q that connects to all of X . $d(q) = |X|$ and by $|X| \leq k$, G' is now $|X|$ -connected. By Menger's theorem $\exists |X|$ internally vertex-disjoint paths from v to q . Delete q from these paths and you have obtained the desired paths from v to X as all paths from v to q must run through X and cannot have used the same vertex in X .

□

6. b. A graph G is k -linked if, for every choice of $2k$ distinct vertices $s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_k$, there exist k pairwise vertex-disjoint paths P_1, \dots, P_k such that each P_i has ends s_i and t_i . Show that there exists a function $f(k)$ such that every $f(k)$ -connected graph is k -linked.

Hint: It suffices to take $f(k) = 100k^2$. Pick two large disjoint sets A and B . Use Menger's theorem to find many disjoint A - B paths, use the fan lemma to attach each s_i, t_i to A and B respectively, and then reroute accordingly.

Let $f(k) = 100k^2$.

We will begin picking elements for A and B from $N(s_i)$ and $N(t_i)$.

Note that $|N(s_i)|, |N(t_i)| \geq 100k^2$. Thus $|(\bigcup_i N(s_i)) \cup (\bigcup_i N(t_i))| \geq 100k^2$.

Thus there is plenty of room to start assigning a system of distinct representatives $a_i \in N(s_i), b_i \in N(t_i), a_i, b_i \neq s_i, t_i$.

A and B are now disjoint sets of size k . By Menger's $\exists 100k^2$ vertex disjoint paths between A and B . By construction we already have disjoint paths from s_i to a_i and t_i to b_i , so it suffices to find a set of k disjoint paths between each a_i, b_i . Pick one path for each a_i to b_i , call it P_i . Up to $c \leq k$ paths in $\bigcup_i P_i$ may share one or more shared vertices, if so delete $c - 1$ of these paths and repeat. This uses up less than $O(k^2)$ paths $\ll 100k^2$. Thus $\bigcup_i P_i$ is now pairwise vertex-disjoint and G is k -linked.

□

7. Show that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some $f(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ with the property that every graph G with chromatic number at least $f(k)$ contains a subgraph H with both vertex-connectivity and chromatic number at least k .

Let H be the complete graph on $k + 1$ vertices. Let $\chi(G) \geq f(k) \geq k + 1$.

Obviously H is k -vertex connected. It takes $(k + 1)$ -colors to color the k neighbors of v since they are all connected to each other, plus v itself $\forall v \in V(H)$.

Considering $\chi(G)$ is a min value, we know that we cannot remove a color x from the set of colors used in G , $\{C_1 \dots C_{\chi(G)}\}$, and replace all its colored vertices by another color in the set $\{C_1 \dots C_{\chi(G)}\} \setminus C_x$. Let S_i contain the vertices of C_i we can recolor. Now $C_i \setminus S_i$ is composed just of vertices that are connected to every other color. Let G' be the induced subgraph of G on $\{\bigcup(C_i \setminus S_i)\}$.

Now consider deleting vertices of G' , going through the sets of $C_i \setminus S_i$ one at a time deleting vertices in $C_i \setminus S_i$ and corresponding vertices in $C_j \setminus S_j$ that can now be recolored until $|C_i \setminus S_i| = 1$. This obviously can be done since once you reach $|C_i \setminus S_i| = 1$ you know $\forall 1 \leq j \leq \chi(G)$ that $|C_j \setminus S_j| \geq 1$ by the nature of $v \in (C_i \setminus S_i)$ having a neighbor in every other color.

G' is now $K_{\chi(G)}$. By $\chi(G) \geq f(k) \geq k + 1$, $H \subseteq G' \subseteq G$.

□